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Abstract

As a major field of study, chaos is relatively new [1]. Much like how the invention of the
telescope was needed to make pivotal advancements in early astronomy, the computer is the necessary
tool of choice for chaosticians [1]. A novel and interesting application of chaos that has been introduced
since Edward Lorenz first proposed “The Butterfly Effect” in 1972 to describe a system’s sensitive
dependence on its initial conditions is signal masking or encryption. The goal of this experiment was to
design a simple chaotic masking circuit using the eponymous Chua diode first in simulation using LT
(Linear Technologies) SPICE (“spice”) and subsequently realizing the circuit physically with a comparative
analysis of results.

I. Introduction

Before a signal is encrypted with chaotic weirdness, a reasonable question to ask is, “What is
chaos?” This is one of those terms that may have a different meaning depending on if you’re asking a
scientist, mathematician, or someone else. In the public sphere, chaos is skewed slightly negatively with
attributes such as randomness, disorder, and even anarchy. The mathematicians and physicists are still
trying to figure it out and definitions seem to vary depending on which elements of chaos are being
studied for a particular application. Most professionals agree that chaotic systems are very sensitive to
their initial conditions and all chaotic systems are nonlinear, but not all non-linear systems are chaotic
[1]. A safe and succinct definition is that chaos is a piece of jargon used to describe a type of
deterministic, nonlinear dynamical system that is very sensitive to initial conditions [1]. Sensitivity to
initial conditions simply means that two points starting very close together that share the same time
evolution equations will diverge wildly soon after $T_0$. This behavior normally doesn’t happen in linear
systems (or every nonlinear one). If two points in a linear system start very close together and share
common dynamical equations, their evolution through space-time will be very similar. This immediate and dramatic divergence after $T_0$ is a hallmark feature of chaos.

For the sake of brevity this paper will focus more on the circuit itself and not as a survey into the specificities of chaotic dynamics; however, certain pertinent aspects of chaos theory will be brought up as they are needed throughout the analysis.

II. Basic Chaotic Signal Masking

In its simplest form a chaotic masking circuit needs an input signal, a master chaos generator, some sort of summing amplifier, a slave chaos generator, and a difference amplifier (See Fig. 1).

The master chaos generator produces a voltage that when viewed as a 1-D trace on an oscilloscope looks like noise. When added to the original message signal the output should make the message unrecognizable. To recover the signal, an exact copy of the master chaos signal needs to be available or the original signal will look noisy. Synchronization of the master generator with a slave ideally made of matched components causes their voltage dynamics to become identical which makes it possible to replicate the unique chaotic masking waveform in real time that would otherwise be impossible to achieve. By subtracting the slave signal with the master + original, theoretically an exact copy of the
original will be recovered. The ability for chaotic circuits to synchronize is why they’re of interest to those working in encryption and other types of secured communication [6].

III. The Chua Circuit as a Chaos Generator

In the early 1980’s Leon Chua of the University of California, Berkeley, was the first to invent a physical circuit that could accurately and repetitively exhibit chaotic dynamics with very simple, off the shelf components. Its simplicity and reliability make it the perfect chaos generator for signal masking [6].

At its core, the Chua circuit contains four passive linear components (two capacitors, one resistor, and one inductor) and one active, nonlinear one: the Chua diode (see Fig. 2). Chua had proved that any circuit containing solely passive components can never present chaotic dynamics [3]. Note that there is no outside voltage source driving the circuit—all voltages across components, initial conditions for the system, and the chaotic dynamics are stemming from thermal noise or properties of the op-amps within the nonlinear resistor. For this experiment, all voltages to power active circuit components were coming from a single regulated bench top DC power supply at 9.0 V.
When taking the nonlinear resistor portion of the circuit as a single component, deriving the equations of state for the entire system becomes a rather simple exercise in Kirchhoff’s laws and the mathematical relationships between voltage, current, and inductance:

\[
C_1 \frac{dV_{c_1}}{dt} = \frac{1}{R_9} (V_{c_2} - V_{c_1}) - g(V_{c_1})
\]

\[
C_2 \frac{dV_{c_2}}{dt} = \frac{1}{R_9} (V_{c_1} - V_{c_2}) + I_{L_1}
\]

\[
L \frac{dI_{L_1}}{dt} = -V_{c_2},
\]

where the function \( g(\ldots) \) is defined by:

\[
g(V_R) = m_0 V_R + \frac{1}{2} (m_1 - m_0) \left[ |V_R + B_p| - |V_R - B_p| \right]
\]

and \( V_R \) is the voltage across the Chua diode as a whole.

Ironically, this is a piece-wise linear function that describes the behavior of the nonlinear resistor component of Chua’s circuit [3]. The nonlinear resistor acts as a negative impedance converter, meaning that current is forced to flow from a lower voltage to a higher voltage instead of vice versa. The attractors of the chaotic dynamics within the system are highly dependent on these negative regions in the I-V (current-voltage) spectrum of the nonlinear resistor. Since resistance is related to current and voltage via Ohm’s law it is implied that this negative resistance is proportional to negative currents and voltages (quadrants’ II and IV on an I-V graph). But since there can’t be negative power: \( P = VI \), all physically buildable nonlinear resistors must eventually become passive for large \( V \) and \( I \) (See below) [3].

Fig. 3: (A) shows the slopes and break points of the nonlinear resistor occupying quadrants II and IV. (B) shows the I-V curve for the nonlinear resistor graphed separately from the rest of Chua’s circuit in spice. It’s being driven with a triangle wave at 30 Hz with a small current sensing resistor from the drives negative terminal going to ground.
Notice in Fig. 3(B) that after saturation, the I-V curve returns to the passive positive regions in quadrants I and III. This is out of range to exhibit chaos. Normal diodes generally have the same shaped curve only occupying quadrants I and III (essentially, an inverted form of Fig. 3(B).)

In the above paragraph I mentioned “the attractors of the chaotic dynamics within the system...” In nonlinear science an attractor is the point or the set of points in phase space where an orbit will eventually tend towards throughout the time evolution of the system [2]. Attractors can be simple, as in the damped harmonic oscillator, which has one as a single point at (0, 0) in (ω, θ) phase space or quite complicated as they are in most chaotic systems. The Chua circuit has multiple attractors, but its calling card is the so-called “double scroll strange attractor.” Why it's called “strange” will be touched on later.

Fig. 4 (from spice simulation): (B) and (C) shows the voltage traces Vc2 and Vc1 respectively from Chua’s circuit as a function of time. Notice that this can easily be construed for noise. But when graphed in phase space (A), Vc2 vs. Vc1 the beautiful “double scroll” attractor presents itself and it’s clear that this system is more than just noise.
IV. The Chaotic Masking Circuit (Simulation)

Now that some of the dynamics of Chua’s circuit has been introduced, its use as a chaos generator in a simple chaotic masking circuit can be better appreciated.

Fig. 5: The schematic for a simple chaotic masking circuit. The input signal is a simple sine wave and the two chaos generators are symbolized by the boxes with the waveforms in them. The master generator is furthest left.

The goal of this experiment was to design the simplest circuit possible that could exhibit chaotic masking. The only components here that differ from those of Fig. 1 are the inverting amplifier at “Vout2” and a buffering amplifier in the synch chain of the two chaos generators. The addition of the inverter was arbitrary and obvious; however, the buffer proved to be essential while testing the circuit with spice simulations (to be explained later). Chaotic dynamics can be extremely fickle and very sensitive to subtle nuances in changes of voltages, currents, resistance, and who knows what other kinds of variables. In isolation the Chua circuit may work perfect, but once it’s connected to other circuit components all it takes is a little back feed or other miniscule change in the system and the chaos will die and give way to periodicity.
Fig. 6: Results from spice after a 1000 ms simulation. A snapshot of approximately 140 ms is shown with (E) being the original sine wave signal. (D) and (C) are the master and slave chaos generators respectively. Notice the synchronization of the complex waveforms. (B) is the masked signal, original + master. (A) is the recovered signal, masked – slave.
Fig. 6 shows a successful simulation of the chaotic masking circuit. The input sine wave \( V_1 \) had a frequency of 100 Hz with an amplitude of 500 mV (1 Vpp). Resistor values for the summing and difference amplifiers were chosen to limit gain, but \( R_2 \) and \( R_5 \) had very high values to limit back feed current into the chaos generators which was found to destroy the chaos if on the order of \(~5.5\ \mu A\).

For the non-inverting summing amplifier with an input voltage of 1 Vpp and the master chaos generator \( V_{\text{chaos1}} \) with an input voltage of \(~3.2\ V_{\text{pp}}\):

\[
V_{\text{out1}[\text{Vin2}]} = \left( V_1 \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} + V_{\text{chaos1}} \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{R_3}{R_8} \right) 
\]

(3)

With resistor values of \( R_1 = 20 \, k\Omega, R_2 = 150 \, k\Omega, R_8 = 100 \, k\Omega, \) and \( R_3 = 10 \, k\Omega, V_{\text{out1}[\text{Vin2}]} = 1.387\ V_{\text{pp}} \) This was consistent with the experimental value found in Fig. 6(B).

For the difference amplifier:

\[
V_{\text{out}} = \left( \frac{R_4 + R_7}{R_5 + R_6} \right) \frac{R_6}{R_4} V_{\text{chaos2}} - \frac{R_7}{R_4} V_{\text{out1}[\text{Vin2}]} 
\]

(4)

\( V_{\text{chaos2}} \) had a value of 200 mVpp. For \( R_4 = R_6 = R_7 = 10 \, \Omega \) and \( R_5 = 150 \, k\Omega, V_{\text{out}} \) had a value of 1.0 Vpp as shown in Fig. 6(A) and was exactly as expected. Keeping \( R_{10} \) and \( R_{11} \) the same for the inverter maintained a gain of 1 as to not affect the voltage coming out of the difference amplifier so the output voltage of the circuit was exactly as original sine wave message signal.

The simulation did present a few quirks, however. During the course of multiple simulations, the chaos generators would un-synch briefly for about 1-3 ms then re-align. This occurred only when the buffer was included in the synch chain. Without the buffer, the simulation would not go past 500 ms without losing chaos and reverting to periodicity. I speculate that current back feed would creep from the slave generator into the master annihilating chaos. With the buffer in place, when the difference in current registered a voltage change, the buffer immediately (almost) equated input with output, keeping the circuit in the chaotic regime. I speculate these little errors in un-synching were the result of the current back feed trying to kill the chaos, but being halted by the buffer in 1-3 ms. These errors manifested themselves as little blips in the troughs and/or crests in the recovered waveform. Even with the errors, I think the buffer was necessary to maintain chaos in the circuit. It should be noted that adding the buffer in the synch chain really slowed down the computation time. It took about 10 min per 100 ms of the simulation.

There were also interesting little delays in the chaos generators at \( T_0 \). It took about 8 ms for the generators to kick in which was very odd. Thinking delaying the input sine wave would solve the issue; this was attempted to no avail. The delay of the chaos generators were simply shifted alongside the
delay of input sine wave at exactly 8 ms. No satisfactory hypotheses were explored to rectify the issue and it was forgotten when the actual circuit was not found to have the same problem.

Fig. 7: Un-synching and re-aligning in the simulation with the added buffer in the synch chain. (E) is the original signal. (D) and (C) are the master and slave generators respectively as in Fig. 6. Notice at about 57 ms the two generators become un-synched then quickly re-align. Masking (B) is unaffected, but the recovered signal (A) has a distinctive “hump” in the trough of the wave.
V. The Chaotic Masking Circuit (Actual)

The actual circuit was constructed on a solderless breadboard exactly as it was designed in spice with the same resistor values. Spice uses ideal components whose behaviors may deviate from those in the real world. The op-amps used in the simulation were the LT1007’s—low noise, high speed. The LM358AN dual op-amps were chosen as replacements because they exhibit similar qualities and do well in the audio frequency range. First, one Chua circuit was built with successful occurrences of chaos proven by viewing traces on the oscilloscope. Then the second one was built and also tested. The rest of
the circuit was added and data was acquired from nine traces and analyzed in Matlab: 3 from each state variable of the chaos generators (Vc1, Vc2, and IL1), the original signal, the masked signal, and finally the recovered signal.
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**Fig. 9**: Vc1 from the master chaos generator graphed against samples/second (time). Note the similarity in waveform with Fig. 4(C).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 10**: Vc2 from the master generator graphed against time. Note the similarity in waveform with Fig. 4(B).
Fig. 11: Double scroll attractor taking a trace from the master generator to one on the slave to show that chaos is maintained through the synchronization.

Fig. 12: Double scroll attractor as graphed in Matlab, again taking traces from the master Vc1 to the slave Vc2. Data was taken at 20,000 samples/second so the graph (A) looks washed out. (B) shows a 10x view taken from near the center of the attractor to see the paths more clearly.
Figs. 11 and 12 show two dimensional projections of the double scroll strange attractor when in actuality, chaos is at least a three dimensional phenomena. Part of the complexity of chaotic dynamics is that the orbits in phase space never repeat nor cross each other [1,2]. A system must have at least three dimensions to achieve this.

The Z axis on Fig. 13 shows $V_{c2} - V_{L1}$. This was taken as a proxy for the current going through the inductor. From Ohm’s law $V \propto I$ and it has been previously shown that this relation holds true for $V_{c2} - V_{L1}$ in Chua’s circuit [2]. Recall from (1) that current through the inductor is a state equation of the system along with the voltages across the two capacitors. All other time variables in the circuit end up being dependent on those three equations [1]. Graphing the three state variables in phase space gives the most accurate visualization of the chaotic dynamics in Chua’s circuit and voltages are easier to handle with oscilloscopes and signal processing.

Fig. 13: 3-D representation of the double scroll attractor. Again, at 20,000 samples/second the data seems to wash out the graph, but zooming in would show a similar pattern as seen in Fig. 12(B).
Fig. 14 (above) from the actual circuit shows \( V_{c1} \) in the master generator to \( V_{c1} \) in the slave generator. If they were perfectly synched this relationship would tend towards a straight line with a slope of 1. Fig. 15 (below) shows the same variables graphed against each other in spice with slightly different scaling.
A simple way to test the synchronization of two chaos generators is to graph one of the state variables that weren't used as the synch hub to its exact counterpart in the other circuit. For example, in this particular setting, the chaos generators were combined at Vc2 on both circuits so to test whether they were displaying exact dynamics one simply needs to graph Vc1 on the master generator to Vc1 on the slave generator. This graph should look like a straight line (See Figs. 15 and 16). When testing the two chaos generators in spice before the rest of the circuit was hooked up to them, their synchronization was a perfect line—not surprising in a simulation environment with ideal components. Interestingly, once the rest of the circuit was included and everything was running, even spice deviated from a perfect line (See Fig. 16). The actual circuit didn’t fare too bad considering none of the cross constituents of the master and slave generators were really “matched”—meaning values were taken with a multi-meter of each component and only ones which deviated from a tiny pre-determined margin of error were used. Care was taken to use the exact make and models of individual parts in the Chua circuits.

The signal masking was not as prominent in the actual circuit as it was in the spice facsimiles (See Fig. 16 above). It was noticed during the circuit simulations that there was a strong correlation between the amplitude of the input sine wave signal, the value of R1, and the quality of the masking signal. As noted above, the input sine wave oscillated between 500 mV and -500 mV at 100 Hz and the value of R1 was optimized for this input voltage. In the actual circuit; however, the function generator

![Fig. 16: Graph of the original, masked, and recovered signals against an arbitrary time scale and adjusted voltage scale.](image)
could not sustain an amplitude that low so it was adjusted to about 1.5 V_{pp}, oscillating from roughly 750 mV to -750 mV. The value of R_1 was not changed to reflect the new input voltage which consequently could have compromised the quality of signal masking.

**VI. Fractal Dimensions and Lyapunov Exponents**

The signal processing power of Matlab and its vast network of m.file sharing makes it a much less of an arduous task to examine some very interesting higher level mathematical properties of chaos, notably, the geometry of chaotic attractors and quantifying their dimensionality. Two such quantitative characteristics will be discussed – fractal dimension, and Lyapunov exponents.

Benoit Mandelbrot coined the term *fractal* in 1982 to describe the non-integer dimensional space that certain geometric objects reside in phase space. Some of these fractal sets have become iconic such as the Koch curve and the Mandelbrot set. For some geometric objects possessing non-integer dimensions, strange properties such as having an infinite length while occupying a finite area of space are proven to exist [1]. Coincidentally (?), chaotic attractors have propinquity for residing in non-integer dimensionality in phase space. If an attractor for a dissipative system has a non-integer dimension, then that system is said to have a “strange attractor” [1].

The problem with the word “dimension” can be immense for novice mathematicians. Many definitions exist and there are multiple ways to calculate them, each possibly giving different numerical results [1]. For finding the fractal dimension of the Chua circuit the “box-counting” algorithm was used [5]. Essentially, construct boxes of side length “R” to cover the space occupied by the geometric object. For the case of the Chua circuit in this experiment, a 2 x 10^4 by 3 array (image/geometric object) sample of the data was used incorporating the three state variables of the system. Then count the minimum number of boxes N(R) needed to fully contain all the points in the set. The box counting dimension (fractal dimension) D_0 is defined as:

\[
D_0 = -\lim_{R \to 0} \frac{\log N(R)}{\log R}
\]

Obviously this can present problems considering the taking of a limit for a geometric object that contains a finite number of data points can lead to inconsistencies and errors [1]. Nevertheless, the algorithm was implemented and results did show a small portion of the attractor contains a non-integer dimension (See Figs. 17 and 18).
Fig. 17 (above) shows the tendency for a system to exhibit fractal dimension using the “box-count” method. The more the blue data set deviates from the red scaling factor, the greater the chance for non-integer dimension. Fig. 18 (below) shows that for box sizes of order $< 10^1$ there is inconsistent fractal dimension. Also, for box sizes of order $10^{2.3} < r < 10^{3.1}$ there is fractal dimension slightly less than 1.
Calculating the Lyapunov exponents is also another way to analyze non-integer dimension. They measure the rate of how orbits diverge from each other in a forward time evolution of a chaotic system [2]. In other words, the rate at which two points close together in a basin of attraction will diverge from each other in a chaotic system is exponential. It is denoted as $\lambda$ and is defined by:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{|f^{(n)}(x_0 + \varepsilon) - f^{(n)}(x_0)|}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

Here, $f^{(n)}$ is an iterated map function with index $n$, $x_0$ is a point on an attractor and $x_0 + \varepsilon$ is another attractor point very close by. It has been shown that ultimately (using a little elementary calculus) that the Lyapunov exponent is an average of the natural logarithm of the absolute value of the derivatives of a map function [1]. The number of Lyapunov exponents equals the number of state variables in the system so for the Chua circuit there will be three.

Fig. 19: The Lyapunov exponents for the Chua circuit with initial conditions at $(0, 0, 0)$. 
Figs. 19 and 20 shows the results of a Matlab algorithm [4] used to generate the Lyapunov exponents for Chua’s circuit. The program was a neat GUI based tool that used the ODE45 solver in Matlab to generate the exponents. The input function for the GUI required the Jacobian of the Chua circuit state equations. This was simple to do by changing the equations to a dimensionless form:

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \alpha(y - x - g(x)) \quad (7)
\]
\[
\frac{dy}{dt} = x - y + z
\]
\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = -\beta y
\]

Then the Jacobian is:

\[
J(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha g & \alpha & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -\beta & 0
\end{pmatrix} \quad (8)
\]

The transformation parameters of (7) can be found here [2, 3]. The simulation was left to run for a set time period as to the Lyapunov exponents evolve completely. The results for the first set of initial conditions (See Fig. 19) of (0, 0, 0) were 0.4827, 0.47939, and -1.9616. For a system to be chaotic the highest Lyapunov exponent must be positive and all three must equal to less than zero [2]. Thus, this

Fig. 20: The Lyapunov exponents for the Chua circuit with initial conditions at (0.7, 0, 0).
Chua circuit is chaotic for initial conditions at \((0, 0, 0)\). The system had a non-integer dimension of \(2.4902\). For the second set of initial conditions (See Fig. 20) of \((0.7, 0, 0)\) the calculated Lyapunov exponents were \(10.2283, -0.375773,\) and \(-11.1824\) with a non-integer dimension of \(2.8811\). Thus the Chua circuit maintains its chaotic behavior with these initial conditions as well.

**VII. Conclusions**

This analysis has shown that chaotic masking can be achieved using a very simple circuit design using inexpensive, off the shelf components. Even though the masking was not dramatic, a circuit of this type is still relevant for the design of more advanced analog chaotic masking circuits and could possibly be an excellent pedagogical tool for an introductory lab exercise in studying aspects of nonlinear dynamics.

That being said, improvements to increase masking and recovery are abounding. One of the benefits of starting at the absolute bottom is that the only way to go is more complex. Higher level signal processing components can be added, but this can't be done trivially [6]. These circuits are extremely sensitive and the ultimate goal is to always maintain chaotic dynamics with increasing complexity of the circuit.
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